

From: WHIDC@aol.com

Sent: Monday, January 10, 2005 4:32 PM

To: Comments, Regs

Subject: "2004-53, 2004-54" Proposed CRA requirements revisions

The Washington Heights and Inwood Development Corporation (WHIDC) is a not-for-profit community development financial institution located in upper Manhattan, New York, New York. WHIDC strongly opposes the OTS proposal to weaken CRA requirements. It is essential that large institutions remain accountable for investments and services as well as their lending in our community and other less fortunate communities throughout our country.

Your proposal contradicts the purpose of the Community Reinvestment Act (CRA). Your proposal will significantly reduce the amount of community development financing and thrift services in low- and moderate-income communities. Your proposal allows large thrifts to design and implement their own watered-down CRA exams. In addition, your proposal allows all savings and loans to serve affluent neighborhoods but neglect low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, rural areas and areas impacted by natural disasters.

Currently, large thrifts with more than \$1 billion in assets have a "three part" CRA exam that consists of a lending test, an investment test, and a service test. Under your proposal, a large thrift can choose to eliminate its investment and service tests, and thus only have to pass a lending test. Or it can choose to have minuscule investment and service tests, meaning that the lending test counts for virtually all of the total grade.

If large thrifts can eliminate their investment tests, they will not be required to finance affordable rental housing via Low Income Housing Tax Credits or finance small businesses via equity investments. At the same time, thrifts can abolish their service tests and not be required to place or maintain branches in low- and moderate-income communities. With no service test, the thrifts can also ignore the needs for remittances and other low-cost banking services. The "design your own easy CRA exam" option will increase the amount of abusive payday loans, check cashing, and other high cost services in low- and moderate-income communities since thrifts will reduce their provision of basic banking services after implementing their own easy exams.

Under CRA, banks and thrifts have an affirmative and continual obligation to serve low- and moderate-income communities. Under your proposal, large thrifts can arbitrarily and capriciously respond to a few community needs instead of all needs. If the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS) adopts this proposal, the agency will fail on its responsibility to enforce CRA.

In addition, your proposal regarding rural areas and natural disasters lacks any justification. Congress enacted CRA in order to stop redlining and disinvestment from low- and moderate-income communities. Under your proposal, large thrifts will suffer no CRA penalty if they provide community development financing to affluent communities, while overlooking low- and moderate-income communities, in rural areas and areas impacted by natural disasters.

Finally, you would reduce vital opportunities for community groups and thrifts to meet with your agency to discuss CRA and anti-predatory lending matters when thrifts are merging. Under current regulation, your agency is required to hold two meetings to ensure that all facts and impacts of proposed mergers are thoroughly vetted. Your proposal would allow the OTS, at its own discretion, to hold only one meeting or to decline to hold a meeting. This is inadequate as merging institutions often conceal important data and information regarding CRA

and fair lending compliance, and will only provide this information if repeatedly prodded by community groups during meetings with the regulatory agency.

Over the years, CRA has been effective because the banking agencies have issued regulations in a careful and uniform manner. Once again, your unilateral and reckless proposal threatens the gains in community revitalization made possible by CRA. We urge you to withdraw this latest proposal, which is so ill-conceived that it has not been issued by the other banking agencies.

If you have any questions, please call or e-mail me. Thank you for your attention

Sincerely,

Dennis C. Reeder  
Executive Director  
WHIDC@aol.com  
212 -795-1600